

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,175	04/01/2004	Hung-Wen Su	0941-0940PUSI	7374	
	7590 09/26/200° ART KOLASCH & BIJ		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			WONG, EDNA		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1753		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	.
	10/814,175	SU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edna Wong	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. In reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal ma	• •	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction are	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be objected to b	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/814,175 Page 2

Art Unit: 1753

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8, drawn to an apparatus for metal electroplating, classified in class 204, subclass 274.
- II. Claims 9-14, drawn to a method of metal electroplating, classified in class205, subclass 157.
- III. Claims **15-23**, drawn to a method of metal electroplating, classified in class 205, subclass 157.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II, III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as an electroless plating apparatus.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation, and effects.

Claim 9 recites:

Application/Control Number: 10/814,175

Art Unit: 1753

A method of metal electroplating, comprising the steps of:

placing a semiconductor substrate into an electroplating tank filled with an

electrolyte; and

heating the portion of the electrolyte adjacent to the semiconductor substrate via an independent heater during electroplating of the semiconductor substrate.

Claim 15 recites:

A method of metal electroplating, comprising the steps of:

providing an electroplating tank containing an electrolyte at a first temperature, wherein the electrolyte comprises metal ions;

immersing a semiconductor substrate held by a substrate holder into the electrolyte;

heating the portion of the electrolyte adjacent to the semiconductor substrate to a second temperature by a heater independent of the electroplating tank; and

electroplating the semiconductor substrate with the portion of the electrolyte at the second temperature to form a metal layer thereon.

The methods recited above contain steps that are methodically different from each other and are not required for each of the Groups. It would be a burden on the Examiner to search for every specific limitation in each of the Groups when they are not even required for each of the Groups.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given

Application/Control Number: 10/814,175

Art Unit: 1753

above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/814,175

Art Unit: 1753

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Art Unit: 1753

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edna Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

EW September 16, 2007